



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/626,146	07/26/2000	TOSHINORI NAKAYAMA	106364	8065
25944	7590 11/18/2002			
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 199 ALEXANDRI	NADAV OPI			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	A = 1 - = 4/->	- gh		
***	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/626,146	1 2 4 11 14	т		
·	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ori nadav		dross		
THE REPLY FILED 23 October 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	E THIS APPLICATION IN COI avoid abandonment of this ap (1) a timely filed amendment v	NDITION FOR ALLO plication. A proper re which places the appl	WANCE. eply to a ication in		
	REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The object of the state of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing dat is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF date on which the petition under 37 CFR ension and the corresponding amount of ed statutory period for reply originally set	e of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. 1.136(a) and the appropriathe fee. The appropriate er in the final Office action; o	See MPEP te extension fee ktension fee under r (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 October 2002. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C			t forth in		
2. \boxtimes The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	ther consideration and/or searc	h (see NOTE below);			
(b) M they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by m	naterially reducing or	simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without canc	eling a corresponding number	of finally rejected cla	ims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely file	ed amendment		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		onsidered but does N	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLE	LY to issues which w	ere newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			l and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	S:				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>15,16 and 18-21</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>17</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disa	approved by the Exa	miner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		men / Nom	~		
	TOM THOM SUPERVISORY PATER				

The examiner maintains the position that there is no support in the embodiment of figure 13 for a substrate having a thinner portion..